**Short answer questions**

**Q1: AI-Driven Code Generation (e.g., GitHub Copilot)**

**How it reduces development time:**

* **Automates boilerplate**: Generates repetitive code (e.g., CRUD functions, API calls), cutting manual coding.
* **Context-aware suggestions**: Uses NLP to convert comments/natural language prompts into code snippets.
* **Faster debugging**: Recommends fixes for common errors by learning from public code repositories.

**Limitations:**

* **Security risks**: May suggest vulnerable code (e.g hardcoded secrets, SQLi-prone queries).
* **Licensing issues**: Can reproduce copyrighted code from training data.
* **Lack of understanding**: Fails to grasp business logic nuances, leading to functional inaccuracies.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Aspect | Supervised Learning | Unsupervised Learning |
| Data Requirement | Labelled historical bug data (e.g., "bug"/"not bug") | Raw, unlabelled code/execution traces |
| Method | Trains classifiers (e.g., SVM, NN) to detect known bug patterns. | Clusters anomalies (e.g., via K-means, autoencoders) without predefined labels. |
| Use Case | |  |  | | --- | --- | | Identifying common vulnerabilities (e.g., buffer overflows). |  | | Detecting novel/zero-day threats by flagging outliers in code behaviour. |
| Accuracy dependency | High accuracy with quality labelled data. | Lower precision; prone to false positives. |

**Q3: Bias Mitigation in AI for UX Personalization**

**Why critical?**

* **Discrimination risk**: Biased algorithms (e.g., from skewed training data) exclude user segments (e.g., underrepresenting minorities in content recommendations).
* **Reinforces stereotypes**: E.g., career ads shown only to specific genders.
* **Loss of trust**: Unfair personalization erodes brand credibility and user loyalty.

**Mitigation strategies:**

* **Curate diverse training data** (e.g., age, gender, geographic representation).
* **Audit models** for fairness metrics (e.g., demographic parity, equal opportunity).
* **Human-in-the-loop reviews** to validate AI-driven UX decisions.

Example: Netflix’s recommendation system avoids bias by weighting diverse viewing patterns across regions.

**2. Case Study analysis**

AIOps improves software deployment efficiency primarily through predictive analytics and automation, reducing failures and accelerating feedback cycles. Here are two specific examples from the article:

1. **Predictive Failure Prevention & Automated Rollbacks (Harness)**

* **How it works**: AI analyzes historical deployment data to predict build failures *before* they occur. If a failure is detected post-deployment, AI triggers an **instant automatic rollback**.
* **Efficiency gain**: Eliminates manual intervention, minimizes downtime, and ensures rapid recovery.  
  *Source: "Harness uses AI to automatically roll back failed deployments, minimizing the need for human intervention."*

1. **Intelligent Test Optimization (CircleCI)**

* **How it works**: AI prioritizes test cases by analyzing historical success/failure rates. High-risk tests (e.g., frequently failing cases) run first.
* **Efficiency gain**: Developers receive critical feedback faster, accelerating iterations and reducing CI/CD pipeline time.  
  *Source: "CircleCI uses AI... to conduct CI/CD workflows with the optimal execution model. By using historical data... it ensures developers receive feedback quicker."*